



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,950	09/14/2000	Arto Leppisaari	442-009779-US(PAR)	9662	
7590 08/10/2004			EXAMINER		
Perman & Green			MEW, KEVIN D		
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT	06430-6232	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2664		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/661,950		LEPPISAARI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin Mew		2664				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutor will apply and will e a, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tir ry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this cool (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	<u>1ay 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>5/17/2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or l drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureaction for a list	ts have been ts have been prity document u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	,	Paper No(s)/Mail D ) Notice of Informal F ) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2664

#### Final Action

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on May 17, 2004 regarding claims 1-6, 8-17 have been fully considered and claims 1-17 are currently pending.
- 2. Acknowledgement is made of the amended claims received regarding the claim objection to claim 16 and the U.S.C. §112 rejection to claim 7, as described in the previous Office Action. The claim objection and U.S.C. §112 rejection are now withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 13, & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (US Patent 6,532,225).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, & 16, Chang discloses a Medium Access Control layer in GPRS (packet switched data transmission system and packet transfer mode, see lines 21-22, 55, col. 3), which comprises the process of gaining access to a traffic channel (allocating a radio resource, see lines 30-32, col. 4) for real-time applications (for a

Art Unit: 2664

realtime service, see lines 21-22, col. 3) between a mobile unit (terminals; a mobile terminal of a cellular network) and a base station (a network), said access to traffic channel is initiated by sending a "Packet Channel Request" message (said first message is a packet channel request of the GPRS system; and specific information indicating that a radio resource is requested, see lines 30-32, col. 4) from a mobile unit to a base station (a first message is sent from the terminal to the network, see lines 30-32, col. 4). The message indicates the access type or the reason for the access (the network identifies said first message as a radio resource request, see lines 36-37, col. 4). After receiving a packet channel request (said first message is received in the network, see line 40, col. 4), the base station assigns Temporary Flow Identifiers (TFIs) (note that TFI distinguishes packets destined for different mobile units, see lines 67, col. 3, and lines 1-2, col. 4 and lines 40-42, col. 4), Packet Data Traffic Channels (PDTCHs) (radio resource of a type, which is well-suited for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service) and Uplink State Flags (USFs) to the mobile unit (terminal) before any data transfer can commence (the network allocates to the terminal the requested radio resource of a type, which is well-suited for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service, see lines 40-42, col. 4).

Regarding claim 4, Chang discloses that the "Packet Channel Request" message sent from the mobile unit to the base station indicates the access type (the network identifies the message as a radio resource request, see lines 30-37, col. 4). Although Chang does not specifically disclose the message format, it is inherent that the message comprises a bit pattern in a packetized system such as GPRS.

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding claim 8, Chang discloses that the Base Station Subsystem (the network) sends a "Packet Uplink Assignment" message (sends packet uplink assignment) message to the mobile units (terminal) once the TFIs, PDTCHs, and USFs are assigned (see lines 43-45, col. 4).

Regarding claim 13, Chang discloses the real time interactivity for packet switched sessions includes such services as real-time audio/video (realtime service as transmission of speech and transmission of a video image, see lines 65-67, col. 1, and line 3, col. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Spartz et al. (US Patent 5,878,036).

Chang discloses the radio resource allocation is achieved by establishing a openended Temporary Block Flow (TBF) between a mobile unit and the Base Station Subsystem (establishing an open ended TBF connection between the terminal and the network, see lines 59-60, col. 3 and lines 6-9, col. 4). Chang also discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show an unacknowledged mode of said TBF connection. However, Spartz

Art Unit: 2664

discloses a GSM communications network (see Figure 1) in which the acknowledge message for channel request response from the BTS to subscriber unit is optional. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify radio link control mechanism of Chang such that there would be no acknowledgement message responding back to the packet channel request made by the mobile unit such as the unacknowledgement option taught by Spartz. Having provided a BTS that does not acknowledge the packet channel request sent from the mobile unit to the network would modify the radio link control mechanism and the BTS of Chang. The motivation to do so is to avoid the extra processing within the network when transmitting acknowledgement signal to the mobile unit because the call initiation setup time by the mobile unit would then be reduced.

5. Claims 3, 9-12, 14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Widegren et al. (US Patent 6,374,112).

Regarding claim 3, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show a third message is sent from the mobile unit to the base station when allocating a radio resource for a non real-time service. However, Widegren discloses a multimedia call setup, comprising service nodes for analyzing the types of parameters involved for the call including data type such as real time versus non real-time (**non real-time service**, see lines 54-64, col. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation of Chang such that the packet channel request message would be analyzed to indicate that the request is made for non

Art Unit: 2664

real-time service such as the data type analysis taught by Widegren. Having provided a data type parameter field in the packet channel request message and a processing means for determining non real-time service request would modify the radio resource allocation of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide a mechanism for requesting and distinguishing a non real-time service from a real-time service in a packet switched system because non real-time service such as Internet data would also be required in a packet switched system.

Regarding claims 9 & 10, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show a second message (packet channel request message) would be transmitted from the mobile unit to the base station prior to sending the first message (packet resource request message). However, Widegren discloses in a GPRS network (see element 20, Figure 1) where a mobile station requests a radio bearer service (packet channel request message) to establish a connection with a core network via the radio access bearer establishment routine (see lines 5-10 and block 81, Figure 4). Widegren further discloses a radio resource allocation routine for flexible mapping of bearer services onto different channel types after determining the quality of service parameters associated with the radio access bearer service request (packet resource request message, see lines 33-45, col. 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation procedure of Chang such that the packet channel request message is sent prior to sending the packet resource request message such as the radio resource allocation procedures taught by Widegren. Having provided a radio resource allocation routine to handle the packet resource request would

Art Unit: 2664

modify the radio resource allocation method of Chang. The motivation to do so is to assign different channel types based on different quality of service parameters associated with a radio bearer services request because various quality of services such as diversity handover and fast power control would be required in some circumstances.

Regarding claims 11 & 12, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 10 above, except fails to explicitly show a the packet resource request message comprises a specific one bit long bit field. However, Widegren discloses a multimedia call setup in a GPRS network, comprises service nodes for analyzing the types of parameters involved for the call including data type such as real time versus non real-time (non real-time service, see lines 54-64, col. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation method of Chang such that the packet channel request message would include a one bit value to indicate whether a service is real-time or non real-time. Having provided a one-bit data type field in the packet channel request message and a processing means for analyzing the type of service requested would modify the radio resource allocation of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide a mechanism for requesting and distinguishing a non real-time service from a real-time service in a packet switched system because both real-time service such as audio and video and non real-time service such as Internet data would need to be supported in a packet switched system.

Regarding claim 14, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the radio resource allocation is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer of GPRS system. However,

Art Unit: 2664

Widegren discloses in a GPRS network (see Figure 1) that a connection (radio resource allocation) between a service node and a mobile station is handled at a radio link layer, comprising of a RLC/MAC layer (radio resource allocation is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer, see lines 32-35, col. 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the radio resource allocation method of Chang with that of Widegren such that the radio resource allocation method of Chang is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer such as RLC/MAC layer taught by Widegren. The motivation to do so is to utilize the available bandwidth efficiently because real-time service demands more bandwidth and a wider variety of Quality of Service requirements.

Regarding claim 17, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the network element comprises a means for receiving a message arriving from the mobile unit, and a means for allocating radio resource to the mobile unit. However, Widegren discloses a GPRS network comprising a RNC (BSC), a Base Station (BTS), a radio resource allocation, a connection to a Transceiver (ANT), and a routine for flexible mapping of bearer services onto different channel types (PCU, see Figure 1 and lines 33-35, Figure 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the network element of Chang with the aforementioned network components of Widegren such that radio resource allocation mechanism of Chang is shown using the network components taught by Widegren. The motivation to do so is to show the required components for detecting radio access bearer service request

Art Unit: 2664

and for selecting the type of channel to carry information associated with the radio access bearer service request.

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Erjanne (US Patent 6,490,271).

Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the internal components of the mobile unit for generating and transmitting said first message. However, Erjanne discloses a GSM system in which the mobile station comprises a Central Processing Unit (MPU), a Memory (MEM), a Radio Frequency block (RF) (see lines 66-67, col. 9 and lines 1-3, col. 10) and an antenna (see Figure 3). It is also well known in the art that a mobile unit would comprise a memory, a CPU, a RF block and an antenna in order to transmit messages to and receive messages from the base station. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile station of Erjanne with that of Chang such that the mobile unit of Chang comprises the aforementioned internal components such as the mobile station taught by Erjanne. Having provided a RF block, a memory coupled to the CPU and an antenna connected to the RF block in the mobile station would modify the mobile station of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide the components necessary in the mobile unit for generating and transmitting packet channel request message in a packet switched system.

Art Unit: 2664

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the Chang reference (US Patent 6,532,225) fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that by the Examiner that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "message comprising specific information indicating that a radio resource is requested for a realtime service"), as recited in claim 1, are indeed taught by Chang. In particular, Chang discloses a Medium Access Control layer in GPRS (packet switched data transmission system and packet transfer mode, see lines 21-22, 55, col. 3), which comprises the process of gaining access to a traffic channel (allocating a radio resource, see lines 30-32, col. 4) for real-time applications (for a realtime service, see lines 21-22, col. 3) between a mobile unit (terminal) and a base station (a network), said access to traffic channel is initiated by sending a "Packet Channel Request" message (message comprising specific information indicating that a radio resource is requested for realtime service, see lines 30-32, col. 4; note that the radio resource requested here is a packet channel) from a mobile unit to a base station. The message indicates the access type or the reason for the access (the network identifies said first message as a radio resource request, see lines 36-37, col. 4). After receiving a packet channel request, the base station assigns Temporary Flow Identifiers (TFIs) (note that TFI distinguishes packets destined for different mobile units, see lines 67, col. 3, and lines 1-2, col. 4 and lines 40-42, col. 4) and Packet Data Traffic Channels (PDTCHs) (radio resource of a type, which is wellsuited for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service) to the mobile

Art Unit: 2664

unit (terminal) before any data transfer can commence (the network allocates to the terminal the requested radio resource of a type, which is well-suited for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service, see lines 40-42, col. 4).

Therefore, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument that the Chang reference fails to teach the limitations recited in claims 1 and 15, and again in applicant's argument. The aforementioned limitations are indeed disclosed by the Chang reference according to the discussions above. In light of the Chang's teaching, claims 1 and 15 thus remain rejected as being unpatentable over Chang set forth in the USC 102(b) rejection of claim 1 above. Therefore, claim 2, which depend on claim 1, remains rejected as unpatentable over Chang in view of Spartz under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Also, claims 4-6, 8, 13, 16, which depend on claim 1, stand rejected as being anticipated by Chang under the same 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection set forth in the previous Office Action. In addition, claims 3, 9-12, 14, 17 remain rejected as unpatentable over Chang in view of Widgren et al. (US Patent 6,374,112) under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as described in the previous Office Action.

Art Unit: 2664

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2664

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 703-305-5300. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER

KDM Art Unit 2664